



**ProLife
on Campus**

Winning Hearts ... Changing Minds ... Saving Lives

Powered by

Center for
Bio-Ethical
Reform



Standing in the GAP

July / August 2013

Vol. 14, No. 4

Director's Corner

C. Fletcher Armstrong, PhD
CBR Southeast Director



Dear Partners and Friends,

In this issue, we show how *peaceful conflict* can (a) focus public attention on abortion and (b) create a public forum in which purveyors of injustice are forced to defend baby-killing. *Your special gift of \$1,000, \$500, or even \$100 will (a) focus public attention on abortion and (b) force pro-abort professors to defend the decapitation and dismemberment of little human beings.*

Fletcher

Conflict is both an *indicator* and a *facilitator* of changing minds!

History is clear on this point: injustice can be defeated only by reformers who confront evil and accept persecution from angry defenders of the status quo. People who exploit others are enraged when their cruel tyranny is threatened. When William Wilberforce used pictures to win the debate over slavery, he was attacked in the newspapers, physically assaulted, and even threatened with death. But he showed the pictures anyway.


Conflict is not only an *indicator* that the status quo is threatened; it is also a *facilitator* of change. It focuses public attention in ways nothing else will. Dr. Martin Luther King said, “I am not afraid of the word ‘tension.’ I have earnestly opposed violent tension, but there is a type of constructive, non-violent tension which is necessary for growth.” This tension created a public forum in which racists were forced to defend segregation. They could not do it, so the reformers won.



Sign-holding protesters (right side of walkway) draw big crowds at Auburn U. They tried to defend the indefensible, but could not. Having failed that, they simply tried to change the subject. But all of it focused attention on GAP and abortion.

Perpetrators of genocide almost always claim their victims are not really human. Nazis said Eastern Europeans were “untermensch,” which may be translated “subhuman.”

Today, the abortion industry tells scared teenagers that their preborn children are “blobs of tissue,” “masses of cells,” “parasites,” etc.



Holocaust Remembrance Day at Auburn University

Day 1 of Genocide Awareness Project (GAP) at Auburn fell on Holocaust Remembrance Day. People always complain when we GAP on that day, because they are “offended” that we compare killing millions of preborn children with killing millions of Jews and eastern Europeans. We don’t target any particular day; we just look for good weather when the students are on campus. But it is just as appropriate to show the truth about abortion on that day as it is any other day, perhaps more so.

Nazis called their victims useless eaters and non-human (rats, pigs, vermin, “untermensch,” etc.). So the government took away their rights, experimented on them, and killed them. Surely we could never let that happen again.

Today, abortion promoters call their victims burdens and non-human (products of conception, blob of tissue, parasite, potential life, etc.). The Supreme Court took away their rights. Medical practitioners experiment on them and kill them.

Many of the same people who say “never again” will turn around and destroy their own children, often for very similar reasons. They are “offended” when we point this out.

It is easy to oppose an injustice committed by somebody else, a long time ago, an ocean away. It is much more difficult to oppose an injustice that we ourselves are guilty of, right here and right now.

But people still complain, so we make this offer: If abortion clinics agree to quit killing Jewish babies on Holocaust Remembrance Day, we won’t display GAP that day. Any takers?



GAP sign reaches high above the crowd, defeating censorship attempts encouraged by the University of Buffalo. Protester antics focused national attention on GAP and abortion.

Freedom of speech obstructed at the University at Buffalo ... almost

GAP at the University at Buffalo (UB) was a laboratory in how we can educate the public, overcome apathy, focus attention on injustice, and create a forum that forces pro-aborts to defend baby-killing. Pro-aborts may try to censor us, but their antics only draw more attention and expose their pitiful attempts to defend the indefensible. GAP does it all:

- **Educate the public.** You know the drill. Expose the truth with stationary signs and a mobile truck bearing huge abortion photos. Create an opportunity for debate and exploit it.
- **Overcome apathy.** Our primary target is not the rabid, foam-at-the-mouth leftist. Many of them are in such denial, only God can reach them. Our target is the person with a functioning conscience who will pay attention only if you force him to.
- **Focus attention on injustice.** This is the brilliance of GAP and other CBR projects. They create the kind of modulated (peaceful) conflict that focuses attention on abortion. At UB, pro-abortion chanting, screaming of obscenities and attempts to block the signs all served to draw more attention, even winning us a spot on page 1 of the student paper.
- **Create a forum.** Pro-aborts are forced to explain why it's OK to kill some humans but not others. They can't do it.

Overcoming censorship. UB pro-aborts brought out fabric barriers to block the signs. The police refused to intervene, giving law-breakers tacit approval to interfere with our First Amendment rights. CBR defeated this attempt by putting up one sign on the second level, extending high above the blocking reach of the mob. (We wonder if UB would be similarly "tolerant" of conservative students who interfered with a leftist presentation on campus. We didn't think so.)

The academy: a citadel of intolerance. Pictures change the terms of the debate. Pro-aborts want to debate *choosing*; we want to debate *killing*. When they can't win that debate, it makes them angry. For that reason, the university campus has become one of the most intolerant places in the country.

A few of the pro-abortion professors were so upset, they even compared us to people who supported lynching Black men. So, lemme get this straight. Saying we shouldn't kill people because they are young and defenseless is like lynching Black men. Riiiiiight. More here:

<http://www.FletcherArmstrongBlog.com/taxpayer-funded-professors-compare-pro-lifers-to-lynch-mob-supporters/>

Conflict brings more public attention. UB professor Laura Curry gained national attention when she was arrested for a profanity-laced tirade near the GAP display. Pro-aborts defending Ms. Curry actually posted a video that featured close-ups of the very best GAP signs and abortion photos. Awesome! See the video: <http://www.FletcherArmstrongBlog.com/professor-arrested-for-obscene-rant-video/>

Our best endorsement is a frightened pro-abortion! In this case, it was Amanda Montei, who wrote a blog piece for Ms. Magazine. CBR Executive Director Gregg Cunningham said her op-ed piece contained the "best pro-abortion references to CBR that I've ever read."

Ms. Montei was obviously petrified that people were able to see the truth at UB. She wrote:

- *GAP attempts to traumatize and confuse students into submission. GAP should not be allowed on college campuses, where intellectual vigor, critical thinking and historical accuracy are supposed to be central tenets.* (Translation: Intellectual vigor, critical thinking, and historical accuracy may be achieved only when Ms. Montei and her friends control who may speak and what may be said.)
- *... images speak volumes, especially when accompanied by duplicitous and accusatory rhetoric.* (Translation: An image of abortion carries great meaning, especially when accompanied by convincing arguments.)
- *[GAP closes] down any chance of discourse on abortion on college campuses across the country.* (In fact, GAP stimulates more debate on abortion than any other project ... ever. But Ms. Montei pretends she doesn't see it, because joining this debate would force her to defend dismembering and decapitating little human beings, which she is loathe to try.)

More here: <http://www.FletcherArmstrongBlog.com/so-called-ms-magazine-piece-endorses-effectiveness-of-genocide-awareness-project-gap/>.



Pro-abortion professors in a panic:
"AAAAAAHHHHHHH.
Our monopoly on the control of ideas is shattered. Now we have to defend the decapitation/dismemberment of little human beings. People who disagree with us must be banned, and our rightful monopoly restored!"